

Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead



Bruce W. McClendon FAICP Director of Planning

CERTIFIED-RECEIPT REQUESTED

November 19, 2008

Hank Jong EGL Associates, Inc. 11819 Goldring Road, Unit A Arcadia, CA 91006

SUBJECT: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

OAK TREE PERMIT CASE NO. 2006-00036-(5)

MAP DATE: June 7, 2007

Dear Mr. Jong:

A public hearing on Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 and Oak Tree Permit No. 2006-00036 was held by a Hearing Officer of Los Angeles County ("Hearing Officer") on November 18, 2008.

After considering the evidence presented, the Hearing Officer, Mr. Paul McCarthy, in his action on November 18, 2008, approved Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 and Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act and Titles 21 (Subdivision Ordinance) and 22 (Zoning Ordinance) of the Los Angeles County Code subject to the recommendations and conditions of the Los Angeles County Subdivision Committee. A copy of the approved findings and conditions is attached to this letter.

The actions of the tentative parcel map authorize the creation of four single family parcels, including two flag lot parcels, on 0.91 gross acres. The oak tree permit authorizes the removal of one oak tree.

The appeal period for the tentative parcel map and oak tree permit ends on

TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814 OAK TREE PERMIT NO. 2006-00036

Approval Letter

December 1, 2008. Once the appeal period has passed and all appropriate fees have been paid, the approved tentative map may be obtained at the Land Divisions Section, Room 1382, Hall of Records Building, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

The tentative parcel map approval shall expire on **November 18, 2010**. If the subject tentative parcel map does not record prior to the expiration date, a request in writing for an extension of the approval, accompanied by the appropriate fee, **must be delivered in person to Room 1382 within one month prior to the expiration date.**

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Josh Huntington of the Land Divisions Section of the Department of Regional Planning at (213) 974-6433 between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 6 p.m., Monday through Thursday. Our offices are closed Fridays.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING Bruce W. McClendon, FAICP Director of Planning

Susan Tae, AICP

Supervising Regional Planner

Land Divisions Section

SMT:JSH

Attachments: Tentative Parcel Map Findings and Conditions

Oak Tree Permit Findings and Conditions

Negative Declaration

c: Subdivision Committee

Board of Supervisors Building and Safety

FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OAK TREE PERMIT CASE NO. 2006-00036-(5)

- 1. The Hearing Officer of the County of Los Angeles, Mr. Paul McCarthy, has conducted a public hearing on the matter of Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) on November 18, 2008. Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) was heard concurrently with Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814.
- 2. Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) is a request to remove one oak tree.
- 3. The site is located at 2621 Prospect Avenue in the unincorporated community of La Crescenta within the Montrose Zoned District.
- 4. The subject property is approximately 0.91 gross and 0.81 net acres in size. It has a rectangular shape with level topography. The subject property currently contains a single family house and detached garage which are to be removed.
- 5. Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 is a related request to create four single family parcels, including two flag lot parcels, on 0.91 gross acres.
- 6. The applicant submitted an Oak Tree Report prepared by Jan C. Scow, the consulting arborist, dated January 25, 2006, that identifies and evaluates the oak tree on the subject property.
- 7. The Los Angeles County Forester and Fire Warden ("Forester"), has reviewed the Oak Tree Report and determined that the document is accurate and complete as to the location, size, condition and species of the oak tree on the site. The Forester has recommended approval of the requested oak tree removal, subject to recommended conditions of approval, including contributing \$32,400, the arborist's appraised value for the removal of the Oak, into the County of Los Angeles Oak Forest Special Fund.
- 8. The necessary drainage improvements for soil erosion control will be designed in accordance with the standards of the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works ("Public Works") as a condition of approval of the associated tentative parcel map.
- 9. Staff received no correspondence regarding this case.
- 10. At the November 18, 2008 public hearing, the Hearing Officer heard a staff presentation and oral testimony from the owner's representative regarding the proposed development. The owner's representative, Hank Jong, stated that he had reviewed the conditions and agreed to comply by them. He also stated that the owner has already paid the required fee into the County of Los Angeles Oak Forest Special Fund.
- At the November 18, 2008 public hearing, after hearing all testimony the Hearing Officer closed the public hearing and approved Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5).

- 12. An Initial Study was prepared for this project in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et. seq.) ("CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines, and the Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines of the County of Los Angeles. The Initial Study found the project had no significant effects on the environment, which resulted in a determination of a Negative Declaration.
- 13. After consideration of the attached Negative Declaration together with any comments received during the public review process, the Hearing Officer finds on the basis of the whole record before the Hearing Officer that there is no substantial evidence the project will have a significant effect on the environment, finds the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Hearing Officer, and adopts the Negative Declaration.
- 14. This project does not have "no effect" on fish and wildlife resources. Therefore, the project is not exempt from California Department of Fish and Game fees pursuant to Section 711.4 of the California Fish and Game Code.
- 15. The location of the documents and other materials constituting the record of proceedings upon which the Hearing Officer's decision is based in this matter is the Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning"), 13th Floor, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The custodian of such documents and materials shall be the Section Head of the Land Divisions Section, Regional Planning.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, THE HEARING OFFICER OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONCLUDES:

- A. That the removal of the oak tree is necessary for development reasons since alternate development plans cannot achieve the same permitted density or that the cost of such alternatives would be prohibitive; and
- B. That the removal of the oak tree proposed will not result in soil erosion through the diversion or increased flow of surface waters which cannot be satisfactorily mitigated; and
- C. That the removal of the oak tree proposed will not be contrary to or in substantial conflict with the intent and purpose of the oak tree permit procedure;

THEREFORE, the information submitted by the applicant and presented at the public hearing substantiates the required findings for an Oak Tree Permit as set forth in Section 22.56.2100 of the Los Angeles County Code (Zoning Ordinance).

THEREFORE, Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) is APPROVED subject to the attached conditions established by the Hearing Officer.

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING OAK TREE PERMIT CASE NO. 2006-00036-(5)

CONDITIONS:

(Questions relating to these conditions should be addressed to the Forestry Division, Prevention Bureau of the Los Angeles County Forester and Fire Warden ("Forester") at either 818-890-5719 or 323-881-2481).

- 1. This grant allows the removal of one 31" diameter tree of the Oak genus (*Quercus agrifolia*) identified as Tree Number #1 on the applicant's site plan map and Oak Tree Report.
- 2. Unless otherwise apparent from the context, the term "permittee" shall include the applicant and any other person, corporation, or other entity making use of this grant.
- 3. This grant shall not be effective until the permittee and the owner of the property involved (if other than the permittee), have filed at the office of the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning") their affidavit stating that they are aware of and agree to accept all of the conditions of this grant and that the conditions have been recorded as required by Condition No. 4 and until all required monies have been paid pursuant to Condition Nos. 9 and 10.
- 4. Prior to the use of this grant, the terms and conditions of the grant shall be recorded in the office of the Los Angeles County Recorder. In addition, upon any transfer or lease of the subject property during the term of this grant, the permittee shall promptly provide a copy of the grant and its terms and conditions to the transferee or lessee, as applicable, of the subject property.
- 5. If any provision of this grant is held or declared to be invalid, the permit shall be void and the privileges granted hereunder shall lapse.
- 6. The subject property shall be developed, maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance, or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the permittee to cease any development or activity not in such full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions.
- 7. All requirements of Title 22 of the Los Angeles County Code (Zoning Ordinance) and of the specific zoning of the subject property must be complied with unless specifically modified by this grant, as set forth in these conditions or shown on the approved plans.

- 8. The oak tree shall not be removed until the permittee has obtained all permits and approvals required for the work which necessitates such removal.
- 9. The permittee shall remit processing fees payable to the County of Los Angeles in connection with the filing and posting of a Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code. The project does not have "no effect" on fish and wildlife and is not exempt from payment of a fee to the California Department of Fish and Game pursuant to Section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code. The current total fee amount is \$1,926.75.
- 10. The permittee shall, prior to commencement of the use authorized by this grant, deposit with the County of Los Angeles Oak Forest Special Fund ("Oak Forest Special Fund") the sum of \$32,400.00. The permittee has rejected efforts to retain the oak on-site and has agreed to pay the arborist's appraised value for the removal of the oak. A contribution to the Oak Forest Special Fund shall be made in the amount equivalent to the Oak removed according to the International Society of Arboriculture's "Guide for Plant Appraisal." The contribution has been calculated by the consulting arborist, Jan C. Scow, and approved by the Forester as \$32,400. This contribution should be made payable to:

County of Los Angeles Department of Parks and Recreation Oak Forest Special Fund 433 South Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90020-1975 (213) 351-5136

- 11. The term "Oak Tree Report" refers to the document on file at Regional Planning by Jan C. Scow, the consulting arborist, dated January 25, 2006.
- 12. Before commencing work authorized or required by this grant, the consulting arborist shall submit a letter to the Director of Regional Planning ("Director of Planning") and the Forester stating that he or she has been retained by the permittee to perform or supervise the work, and that her or she agrees to report to the Director of Planning and Forester any failure to fully comply with the conditions of this grant. The arborist shall also submit a written report on permit compliance upon completion of the work required by this grant.
- 13. The permittee shall keep copies of the Oak Tree Report, Oak Tree Map, and Conditions of Approval on the project site and available for review. All Individuals associated with the project as it relates to the Oak resource shall be familiar with the Oak Tree Report, Oak Tree Map, and Conditions of Approval.

- 14. Except as otherwise expressly authorized by this grant, the Oak trees shall be maintained in accordance with the principles set forth in the publication, <u>Oak Trees:</u> <u>Care and Maintenance</u>, prepared by the Forester, a copy of which is enclosed with these conditions.
- 15. Any violation of the conditions of this grant shall result in immediate work stoppage or in a Notice of Correction depending on the nature of the violation. A time frame within which deficiencies must be corrected will be indicated on the Notice of Correction.
- 16. Should any future inspection disclose that the subject property is being used in violation of any condition of this grant, the permittee shall be held financially responsible and shall reimburse the Forester for all enforcement efforts necessary to bring the subject property into compliance. The Director of Planning and the Forester shall retain the right to make regular and unannounced site inspections.
- 17. Notice is hereby given that any person violating a provision of this grant is guilty of a misdemeanor. Notice is further given that the Regional Planning Commission ("Commission") or Hearing Officer may, after conducting a public hearing, revoke or modify this grant, if the Commission or Hearing Officer finds that these conditions have been violated or that this grant has been exercised so as to be detrimental to the public health or safety or as to be a nuisance.
- 18. The permittee shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless Los Angeles County (the "County"), its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the County, or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of Government Code Section 65009 or any other applicable limitation period. The County shall notify the permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding and the County shall reasonably cooperate in the defense.
- 19. In the event that any claim, action, or proceeding as described above is filed against the County, the permittee shall within ten days of the filing pay Regional Planning an initial deposit of \$5,000, from which actual costs shall be billed an deducted for the purpose of defraying the expense involved in the department's cooperation in the defense, including but not limited to, depositions, testimony, and other assistance to permittee or permittee's counsel. The permittee shall also pay the following supplemental deposits, from which actual costs shall be billed and deducted:
 - a. If during the litigation process, actual costs incurred by the department reach
 80 percent of the amount on deposit up to the amount of the initial deposit.

There is no limit to the number of supplemental deposits that may be required prior to completion of the litigation.

b. At the sole discretion of the permittee, the amount of an initial or supplemental deposit may exceed the minimum amounts defined herein.

The cost for collection and duplication of records and other related documents will be paid by permittee in accordance with Section 2.170.010 of the Los Angeles County Code.

- 20. This grant shall expire unless used within two years after the recordation of a final map for Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814. In the event that the tentative map should expire without the recordation of a final map, this grant shall terminate upon the expiration of the tentative map. Entitlement to the use of the property thereafter shall be subject to the regulations then in effect.
- 21. This grant shall terminate upon the completion of the authorized Oak tree removal and the completion of all required mitigation and monitoring to the satisfaction of the Forester and Regional Planning.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER FOR TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

- 1. The Hearing Officer of the County of Los Angeles ("Hearing Officer"), Mr. Paul McCarthy has conducted a public hearing on the matter of Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 on November 18, 2008. Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 was heard concurrently with Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5).
- 2. Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 is a request to create four single family parcels, including two flag lot parcels, on 0.91 gross acres.
- 3. The site is located at 2621 Prospect Avenue in the unincorporated community of La Crescenta within the Montrose Zoned District.
- 4. The subject property is approximately 0.91 gross and 0.81 net acres in size. It has a rectangular shape with level topography. The subject property currently contains a single family house and a detached garage which are to be removed.
- 5. Parcel Nos. 1 and 2, the front two parcels, will take direct access from Prospect Avenue, a 60-foot wide public street. Parcel Nos. 3 and 4, the two flag lot parcels, will access Prospect Avenue via a shared 20-foot wide private driveway and fire lane.
- 6. The project site is zoned R-1 (Single-Family Residence 5,000 Square Feet Minimum Required Lot Area).
- 7. The areas to the north, south, east, and west of the subject property are also zoned R-1. A little further to the north, there is a commercial area zoned C-2-BE (Neighborhood Commercial) along Foothill Boulevard.
- 8. The subject property currently contains a single family house and detached garage which are to be removed. The property is surrounded by single-family residential development to the north, south, east, and west, as well as some commercial development a little further to the north along Foothill Boulevard.
- 9. The project design complies with the standards of the R-1 zoning classification. Single-family residences are permitted in the R-1 zone pursuant to Section 22.20.070 of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code").
- 10. The subject property is located within Category 1 (Low Density Residential) of the Los Angeles Countywide General Plan ("General Plan"). This category allows for a maximum density of six dwelling units per gross acre. This would allow the subject property to contain a maximum of five dwelling units on its 0.91 gross acres. The

project proposes four dwelling units. Therefore, the proposed development is consistent with the density allowed by the General Plan.

This project is also consistent with several key policies of the General Plan. These key policies include:

- 7. Promote a reversal of the trend toward population loses in older urban areas.
- 8. Promote a distribution of population consistent with service system capacity, resource availability, environmental limitations and accessibility.
- 39. Emphasize the preservation, conservation, and maintenance of stable residential areas.
- 44. Preserve sound residential areas and protect them from intrusion of incompatible uses.
- 54. Promote the full use of existing service systems in order to gain maximum benefit from previous public investment.
- 11. The Hearing Officer finds the proposed project is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.
- 12. Oak Tree Permit Case No. 2006-00036-(5) is a related request to authorize removal of one oak tree.
- 13. The proposed project lies within the La Crescenta-Montrose Community Standards District ("CSD"). The CSD does not include provisions specific to the R-1 zone. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that the proposed project is consistent with the provisions of the CSD.
- 14. Staff received no correspondence regarding this case.
- 15. At the November 18, 2008 public hearing, the Hearing Officer heard a staff presentation and oral testimony from the owner's representative regarding the proposed development. The owner's representative, Hank Jong, stated that he had reviewed the conditions and agreed to comply by them. He also stated that the owner has already paid the required fee into the County of Los Angeles Oak Forest Special Fund.
- 16. At the November 18, 2008 public hearing, after hearing all testimony the Hearing Officer closed the public hearing and approved Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814.
- 17. Pursuant to Section 21.32.195 of the County Code, one tree is required within the front yard of each residential lot. Therefore, a total of four trees will be required to be planted as part of this project one in each of the parcels' front yard areas.
- 18. The site is physically suitable for the density and type of development proposed since it has access to a County-maintained street, will be served by public sewers,

and will be provided with water supplies and distribution facilities to meet anticipated domestic and fire protection needs.

- 19. The division and development of the property in the manner set forth on this map will not unreasonably interfere with the free and complete exercise of public entity and/or public utility rights-of-way and/or easements within this map, since the design and development as set forth in the conditions of approval and shown on the tentative map provide adequate protection for any such easements.
- 20. Pursuant to Article 3.5 of the Subdivision Map Act, the proposed subdivision does not contain or front upon any public waterway, river, stream, coastline, shoreline, lake or reservoir.
- 21. The discharge of sewage from this land division into the public sewer system will not violate the requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board pursuant to Division 7 (Commencing with Section 13000) of the California Water Code.
- 22. The housing and employment needs of the region were considered and balanced against the public service needs of local residents and available fiscal and environmental resources when the project was determined to be consistent with the General Plan.
- 23. A Negative Declaration has been recommended for this project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the Los Angeles County Environmental Guidelines. It was determined that this project will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environmental/service factor and, as a result, will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.
- 24. The Hearing Officer finds that the project does not have "no effect" on fish and wildlife resources. Therefore, the project is not exempt from California Department of Fish and Game fees pursuant to Section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code.
- 25. The location of the documents and other materials constituting the record of proceedings upon which the Hearing Officer's decision is based in this matter is the Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning"), 13th Floor, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The custodian of such documents and materials shall be the Section Head of the Land Divisions Section, Regional Planning.

THEREFORE, in view of the findings of fact and conclusions presented above, Tentative Parcel Map No. 065814 is approved, subject to the attached conditions established by the Hearing Officer and recommended by the Los Angeles County Subdivision Committee.

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

CONDITIONS:

1. The subdivider shall conform to the requirements of Title 21 of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code"), and the requirements of the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) zone and the La Crescenta-Montrose Community Standards District.

Tentative Map Date: June 7, 2007

- 2. The subdivider shall label the shared driveway over Parcel No. 3 and Parcel No. 4 as "Private Driveway" on the final map.
- 3. The subdivider shall submit a copy of the project Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("CC&Rs") or maintenance agreements to the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning") for review and approval.
- 4. The subdivider shall record a covenant reserving reciprocal easements for ingress and egress over the fee access strips for the benefit of the parcels served. Provide a copy of the draft covenant to Regional Planning for review prior to final map approval.
- 5. The subdivider shall record the covenant simultaneously with the recordation of the final map.
- 6. The subdivider shall post the common driveway as "No Parking" and provide for its continued enforcement in the CC&Rs or maintenance agreement. Submit a copy of this document to be recorded to Regional Planning prior to final map approval.
- 7. The subdivider shall remove all existing structures prior to final map approval. Provide Regional Planning with proof of removal through a copy of a demolition permit and/or photographs of the vacant site.
- 8. Prior to any work of the property or final map recordation, the terms and conditions of the Oak Tree Permit shall be recorded in the office of the Los Angeles County Recorder. In addition, upon any transfer or lease of the subject property during the term of the Oak Tree Permit, the subdivider shall promptly provide a copy of the grant and its terms and conditions to the transferee or lessee, as applicable, of the subject property.
- 9. Remit a processing fee (currently \$1,926.75) payable to the County of Los Angeles in connection with the filing and posting of a Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code and Section 711 of the California Fish and Game Code to defray the costs of fish and wildlife protection and management incurred by the California Department of Fish and Game. No project subject to this requirement is final, vested or operative until the fee is paid.
- 10. The subdivider shall remove existing structures and provide proof of removal to Regional

Planning prior to final map recordation.

- 11. A final parcel map is required. A waiver is not allowed.
- 12. In accordance with Section 21.32.195 of the County Code, the Subdivider or successor in interest shall plant or cause to be planted at least one tree of a non-invasive species within the front yard of each residential parcel. The location and the species of said trees shall be incorporated into a site plan or landscape plan. Prior to final map approval, a site/landscaping plan depicting the trees shall be approved by Regional Planning, and a bond shall be posted with Public Works or other verification shall be submitted to the satisfaction of Regional Planning to ensure the planting of the required trees.
- 13. The Subdivider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the County of Los Angeles ("County"), its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this parcel map approval, or related discretionary approvals, whether legislative or quasi-judicial, which action is brought within the applicable time period of the Government Code Section 65499.37 or any other applicable time period. The County shall promptly notify the Subdivider of any claim, action or proceeding and the County shall reasonably cooperate in the defense.
- 14. In the event that any claim, action or proceeding as described above is filed against the County, the Subdivider shall within ten days of the filing pay Regional Planning an initial deposit of \$5,000.00 from which actual costs shall be billed and deducted for the purpose of defraying the expense involved in the department's cooperation in the defense, including but not limited to, depositions, testimony, and other assistance to the Subdivider, or the Subdivider's counsel. The Subdivider shall pay the following supplemental deposits, from which actual costs shall be billed and deducted:
- a. If during the litigation process, actual costs incurred reach 80 percent of the deposit amount, the Subdivider shall deposit additional funds to bring the balance up to the amount of the initial deposit. There is no limit to the number of supplemental deposits that may be required prior to the completion of the litigation.
- b. At the sole discretion of the Subdivider, the amount of the initial or supplemental deposit may exceed the minimum amounts defined herein.

The cost for collection and duplication of records and other related documents will be paid by the Subdivider according to the County Code Section 2.170,010.

Except as modified herein above, this approval is subject to all the conditions set forth in the attached reports recommended by the Los Angeles County Subdivision Committee.

Page 1/3

TENTATIVE MAP DATE <u>06-07-2007</u>

The following reports consisting of 9 pages are the recommendations of Public Works.

The subdivision shall conform to the design standards and policies of Public Works, in particular, but not limited to the following items:

- Details and notes shown on the tentative map are not necessarily approved. Any details or notes which may be inconsistent with requirements of ordinances, general conditions of approval, or Department policies must be specifically approved in other conditions, or ordinance requirements are modified to those shown on the tentative map upon approval by the Advisory agency.
- 2. Easements are tentatively required, subject to review by the Director of Public Works to determine the final locations and requirements.
- 3. Easements shall not be granted or recorded within areas proposed to be granted, dedicated, or offered for dedication for public streets, highways, access rights, building restriction rights, or other easements until after the final map is filed with the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk's Office. If easements are granted after the date of tentative approval, a subordination must be executed by the easement holder prior to the filing of the final map.
- 4. In lieu of establishing the final specific locations of structures on each lot/parcel at this time, the owner, at the time of issuance of a grading or building permit, agrees to develop the property in conformance with the County Code and other appropriate ordinances such as the Building Code, Plumbing Code, Grading Ordinance, Highway Permit Ordinance, Mechanical Code, Zoning Ordinance, Undergrounding of Utilities Ordinance, Water Ordinance, Sanitary Sewer and Industrial Waste Ordinance, Electrical Code, and Fire Code. Improvements and other requirements may be imposed pursuant to such codes and ordinances.
- 5. All easements existing at the time of final map approval must be accounted for on the approved tentative map. This includes the location, owner, purpose, and recording reference for all existing easements. If an easement is blanket or indeterminate in nature, a statement to that effect must be shown on the tentative map in lieu of its location. If all easements have not been accounted for, submit a corrected tentative map to the Department of Regional Planning for approval.

TENTATIVE MAP DATE <u>06-07-2007</u>

- 6. Adjust, relocate, and/or eliminate lot lines, lots, streets, easements, grading, geotechnical protective devices, and/or physical improvements to comply with ordinances, policies, and standards in effect at the date the County determined the application to be complete all to the satisfaction of Public Works.
- 7. Quitclaim or relocate easements running through proposed structures.
- 8. Remove the existing buildings as shown on the tentative map prior to final map approval. Demolition permits are required from the Building and Safety office.
- 9. Label driveways and multiple access strips as "Private Driveway and Fire Lane" and delineate on the final map to the satisfaction of Public Works.
- 10. A final parcel map must be processed through the Director of Public Works prior to being filed with the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk's Office.
- 11. Prior to submitting the parcel map to the Director of Public Works for examination pursuant to Section 66450 of the Government Code, obtain clearances from all affected Departments and Divisions, including a clearance from the Subdivision Mapping Section of the Land Development Division of Public Works for the following mapping items; mathematical accuracy; survey analysis; and correctness of certificates, signatures, etc.
- 12. If signatures of record title interests appear on the final map, a preliminary guarantee is needed. A final guarantee will be required. If said signatures do not appear on the final map, a title report/guarantee is needed showing all fee owners and interest holders and this account must remain open until the final parcel map is filed with the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk's Office.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION - SUBDIVISION PARCEL MAP NO. 065814 (Rev.) TENTATIVE MAP DATE 06-07-2007

Page 3/3

13. Within 30 days of the approval date of this land use entitlement or at the time of first plan check submittal, the applicant shall deposit the sum of \$2,000 (Minor Land Divisions) or \$5,000 (Major Land Divisions) with Public Works to defray the cost of verifying conditions of approval for the purpose of issuing final map clearances. This deposit will cover the actual cost of reviewing conditions of approval for Conditional Use Permits, Tentative Tract and Parcel Maps, Vesting Tentative Tract and Parcel Maps, Oak Tree Permits, Specific Plans, General Plan Amendments, Zone Changes, CEQA Mitigation Monitoring Programs and Regulatory Permits from State and Federal Agencies (Fish and Game, USF&W, Army Corps, RWQCB, etc.) as they relate to the various plan check activities and improvement plan designs. In addition, this deposit will be used to conduct site field reviews and attend meetings requested by the applicant and/or his agents for the purpose of resolving technical issues on condition compliance as they relate to improvement plan design, engineering studies, highway alignment studies and tract/parcel map boundary, title and easement issues. When 80% of the deposit is expended, the applicant will be required to provide additional funds to restore the initial deposit. Remaining balances in the deposit account will be refunded upon final map recordation.

Prepared by Diego G. Rivera pm65814L-rev2(rev'd 09-18-08),doc

Phone (626) 458-4349

Date Rev'd 09-18-2008



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION SUBDIVISION PLAN CHECKING SECTION HYDROLOGY, DRAINAGE, AND GRADING UNIT

PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

REVISED TENTATIVE MAP DATED <u>06/07/07</u>

DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Approval of this map pertaining to drainage is recommended.

GRADING CONDITIONS:

- 1. A grading plan and soil and geology report must be submitted and approved prior to approval of the final map. The grading plans must show and call out the construction of at least all the drainage devices and details, the paved driveways, and the elevation and drainage of all pads. The applicant is required to show and call out all existing easements on the grading plans and obtain the easement holder approvals prior to the grading plans approval.
- 2. Comply with the requirements of the drainage concept / hydrology study plan which was conceptually approved on 10/30/06 to the satisfaction of Public Works.

Ву ____

DIÉGO G. RIVERA

Date 07/03/07 Phone (626) 458-4921

Sheet 1 of 1

County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works GEOTECHNICAL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERING DIVISION GEOLOGIC REVIEW SHEET

900 So. Fremont Ave., Alhambra, CA 91803 TEL. (626) 458-4925

DIOTOLOUTION
DISTRIBUTION
Geologist
Soils Engineer
1 GMED File
1 Subdivision

	CEL MAP	P 065814	TENTATIVE MAP DATED	06-07-07
	DIVIDER		LOCATION	Montrose
ENGI	NEER_	H. J. Lee EGL-Hank Jong		
GEOL	LOGIST	EGL-Hank Jong	REPORT DATE	5 6 6 7 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
SOIL	S ENGIN	NEER	REPORT DATE	
[]		ATIVE MAP FEASIBILITY IS RECOMMENDED THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS MUST BE FU		FILING THE FINAL LAND DIVISION
	[]	The final map must be approved by the Geoter geotechnical factors have been properly evaluate.		ng Division (GMED) to assure that all
	[]	A grading plan must be geotechnically approvengencing geology report and/or soils engine must also agree with the tentative map and conto be recorded prior to the completion and accorded	sering report and show all reconditions as approved by the Plant	mmendations submitted by them. It ning Commission. If the subdivision is
	[]	All geologic hazards associated with this propo	osed development must be elim	ninated,
		delineate restricted use areas, approved by the Geology and Soils Sections, and dedicate to structures within the restricted use areas.	consultant geologist and/or so	ils engineer, to the satisfaction of the it the erection of buildings or other
		A statement entitled: "Geotechnical Note(s), Po access and building areas for Lot(s) No(s)by	tential Building Site: For grading	and corrective work requirements for refer to the Soils Report(s)
	[]	The Soils Engineering review dated		
[X]		ATIVE MAP IS APPROVED FOR FEASIBILITY ON OF LAND:	. THE FOLLOWING INFORM	MATION IS APPLICABLE TO THIS
	[]	This project may not qualify for a waiver of fina Subdivision Code.	al map under section 21.48.140	of the Los Angeles County Title 21
	[X]	The subdivider is advised that approval of this d system.	livision of land is contingent upo	n the installation and use of a sewer
	[X]	Soils engineering reports may be required prior	to approval of building or grad	ing plans.
	[]	Groundwater is less than 10 feet from the groundwater	nd surface on lots	
	[X]	The Soils Engineering review dated 1/6	is attached.	
Prepare	d by	Relate Men Review	ed by	Date 07-02-07

Robert O. Thomas

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS GEOTECHNICAL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERING DIVISION

SOILS ENGINEERING REVIEW SHEET

Address:	900 S.	Fremont Ave., Alhambra, CA 91803	District Office	5.0	
Telephone:	(626) 4	158-4925	PCA	LX001129	
Fax:	(626)	458-4913	Sheet 1 of 1		
Tentative Trac	ct Map	65814	DISTRIBU Drain Gradi	age ing	
Location		Prospect Avenue, Montrose		Soils Central File	
Developer/Ow		H, J. Lee		ct Engineer	
Engineer/Arch	itect	EGL Associates	Geold	eologist	
Soils Engineer	r	мел	Soils	Engineer	
Geologist		***	Engineer/Arch		
Review of:					
Tentative Trac	t Map an	d Exhibit Dated by Regional Planning 06/07/07			
ACTION:					
Tentative Man	feasibilit	v is recommended for approval, subject to the conditions below:			

- 1. A soils report may be required for review of a grading or building plan. The report must comply with the provisions of "Manual for Preparation of Geotechnical Reports" prepared by County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works. The Manual is available on the Internet at the following address: http://ladpw.org/gmed/manual.pdf
- 2. At the grading plan stage, submit two sets of grading plans to the Soils Section for verification of compliance with County codes and policies.

No. C48738

Em 930 0 B

CIVI

Amir M. Alam

Prepared by

REMARKS:

Date <u>7/6/07</u>

NOTICE: Public safety, relative to geotechnical subsurface exploration, shall be provided in accordance with current codes for excavations, inclusive of the Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 11.48, and the State of California, Title 8, Construction Safety Orders.

PtgmepublSoils ReviewAMIRTR 65814, Montrose, TTM-A_1.doc

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION - ROAD
PARCEL MAP NO. 065814 (Rev.)

TENTATIVE MAP DATED 06-07-2007

The subdivision shall conform to the design standards and policies of Public Works, in particular, but not limited to the following items:

- 1. Repair any displaced, broken, or damaged pavement along the property frontage on Prospect Avenue.
- 2. Construct any parkway improvements (driveways, and landings, etc.) that either serve or form a part of a Pedestrian Access Route to meet current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to the satisfaction of Public Works.
- 3. Install postal delivery receptacles in groups to serve two or more residential parcels.
- 4. Underground all new utility lines to the satisfaction of Public Works and Southern California Edison. Please contact Construction Division at (626) 458-3129 for new location of any above ground utility structure in the parkway.
- 5. Prior to final map approval, enter into an agreement with the County franchised cable TV operator (if an area is served) to permit the installation of cable in a common utility trench to the satisfaction of Public Works; or provide documentation that steps to provide cable TV to the proposed subdivision have been initiated to the satisfaction of Public Works.
- 6. Remove decorative walls from the dedicated right of way on Prospect Avenue.
- 7. If necessary, re-locate existing power poles in the vicinity of the proposed driveways on Prospect Avenue to the satisfaction of Public Works.

Prepared by Juan M Sarda

Phone (626) 458-4921

Date <u>07-10-2007</u>

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION - SEWER
TRACT/PARCEL MAP NO. 65814 (Rev.)

Page 1/3

TENTATIVE MAP DATED 06-07-2007

The subdivision shall conform to the design standards and policies of Public Works, in particular, but not limited to the following items:

Approved without conditions. There is existing sewer in the area.

Prepared by Julian Garcia pm65814s-rev2.doc

Phone (626) 458-4921

Date 07-09-2007

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION - WATER
PARCEL MAP NO. _065814 (Rev.)

Page 1/1

TENTATIVE MAP DATED 06-07-2007

The subdivision shall conform to the design standards and policies of the Public Works, in particular, but not limited to the following items:

- 1. A water system maintained by the water purveyor, with appurtenant facilities to serve all parcels in the land division, must be provided. The system shall include fire hydrants of the type and location (both on-site and off-site) as determined by the Fire Department. The water mains shall be sized to accommodate the total domestic and fire flows.
- 2. There shall be filed with Public Works a statement from the water purveyor indicating that the water system will be operated by the purveyor, and that under normal conditions, the system will meet the requirements for the land division, and that water service will be provided to each parcel.

Prepared by Lana Radle pm65814w-rev2.doc

Phone (626) 458-4921

Date 07-09-2007





COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT

5823 Rickenbacker Road Commerce, California 90040

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR SUBDIVISION - UNINCORPORATED

Subdiv	vision: P.M. 65814	Map Date	June 06, 2007
C.U.P.	·	Map Grid	3983A
	FIRE DEPARTMENT HOLD on the tentative map shall ren Planning Section is received, stating adequacy of service. Co		
	Access shall comply with Title 21 (County of Los Angeles Sul weather access. All weather access may require paving.	odivision Code) (and Section 902 of the Fire Code, which requires all
\boxtimes	Fire Department access shall be extended to within 150 feet di	stance of any ext	erior portion of all structures.
	Where driveways extend further than 150 feet and are of single shall be provided and shown on the final map. Turnarounds sl for Fire Department use. Where topography dictates, turnarou length.	nall be designed,	constructed and maintained to insure their integrity
\boxtimes	The private driveways shall be indicated on the final map as "I Driveways shall be maintained in accordance with the Fire Co		and Firelane" with the widths clearly depicted.
\boxtimes	Vehicular access must be provided and maintained serviceable fire hydrants shall be installed, tested and accepted prior to con-		struction to all required fire hydrants. All required
	This property is located within the area described by the Fire I Fire Zone 4). A "Fuel Modification Plan" shall be submitted a Modification Unit, Fire Station #32, 605 North Angeleno Ave.	ınd approved pri	or to final map clearance. (Contact: Fuel
	Provide Fire Department or City approved street signs and bui	lding access nun	bers prior to occupancy.
	Additional fire protection systems shall be installed in lieu of s	suitable access ar	d/or fire protection water.
	The final concept map, which has been submitted to this department for access only.	tment for review	, has fulfilled the conditions of approval
	These conditions must be secured by a C.U.P. and/or Covenan Department prior to final map clearance.	t and Agreement	approved by the County of Los Angeles Fire
	The Fire Department has no additional requirements for this di	ivision of land.	
Comme	ents: The access as indicated on the tentative map is adequ	ate.	
By Insp	Dector: Juan C. Padilly Land Development Unit – Fire Prevention Div		uly 11, 2007)-4243, Fax (323) 890-9783

CLEARED FOR PUBLIC HEARING



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FIRE DEPARTMENT

5823 Rickenbacker Road Commerce, California 90040

WATER SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS - UNINCORPORATED

Subdivi	ision No. P.M. 65814	Tentative Map Date June 06, 2007					
Revise	ed Report						
		en is prohibited from setting requirements for water mains, fire hydrants and fire flows as a on of land as presently zoned and/or submitted. However, water requirements may be necessary ce.					
\boxtimes		e hydrants at this location is <u>1250</u> gallons per minute at 20 psi for a duration of <u>2</u> hours, over demand. <u>1</u> Hydrant(s) flowing simultaneously may be used to achieve the required fire flow					
	The required fire flow for private on-site hydrants is gallons per minute at 20 psi. Each private on-site hydrant must be capable of flowing gallons per minute at 20 psi with two hydrants flowing simultaneously, one of which must be the furthest from the public water source.						
\boxtimes	Fire hydrant requirements are as follows:	ows:					
	Install public fire hydrant(s).	Verify / Upgrade existing 1 public fire hydrant(s).					
	Install private on-site fire hydr	ant(s).					
		2-1/2" brass or bronze, conforming to current AWWA standard C503 or approved equal. All minimum of 25' feet from a structure or protected by a two (2) hour rated firewall. with the office.					
	All required fire hydrants shall be in be provided and maintained services	stalled, tested and accepted or bonded for prior to Final Map approval. Vehicular access shall able throughout construction.					
		partment is not setting requirements for water mains, fire hydrants and fire flows as a on of land as presently zoned and/or submitted.					
	Additional water system requiremen process.	ts will be required when this land is further subdivided and/or during the building permit					
	Hydrants and fire flows are adequate	to meet current Fire Department requirements.					
\boxtimes	Fire hydrant upgrade is not necessar to our office.	y, if existing hydrant(s) meet(s) fire flow requirements. Submit original water availability form					
Comme	nts: Verification of fire flow shall	be submitted to our office prior to the clearance of the final map.					
This shall	include minimum six-inch diameter mains. A	20, County of Los Angeles Government Code and County of Los Angeles Fire Code, or appropriate city regulations. rrangements to meet these requirements must be made with the water purveyor serving the area.					
By Inspe	ector <u>Juan C. Padilla</u>	Date July 11, 2007					

Land Development Unit - Fire Prevention Division - (323) 890-4243, Fax (323) 890-9783



LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION



PARK OBLIGATION REPORT

Total Units 4 = Proposed Units 3 + Exempt Units 1 Sections 21.24.340, 21.24.350, 21.28.120, 21.28.130, and 21.28.140, the County of Los Angeles Code, Title 21, Subdivision Ordinance provide that the County will determine whether the development's park obligation is to be met by: 1) the dedication of land for public or private park purpose or, 2) the payment of in-feu fees or, 3) the provision of amenities or any combination of the above. The specific determination of how the park obligation will be satisfied will be based on the conditions of approval by the advisory agency as recommended by the Department of Parks and Recreation. Park land obligation in acres or in-lieu fees: ACRES: 0.03 IN-LIEU FEES: \$11,569 Conditions of the map approval: The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Trails: No trails. Comments: Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. "The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 in advertised for public hearing in October 2008.	Park Planning	•	•	BIE.U6/07/2007 E / MONTROSE / UN	SUM Date: 7 7	Map Type: REV. (REV RECD)
Sections 21.24.340, 21.24.350, 21.28.120, 21.28.130, and 21.28.140, the County of Los Angeles Code, Title 21, Subdivision Ordinance provide that the County will determine whether the development's park obligation is to be met by: 1) the dedication of land for public or private park purpose or, 2) the payment of in-lieu fees or, 3) the provision of amenities or any combination of the above. The specific determination of how the park obligation will be satisfied will be based on the conditions of approval by the advisory agency as recommended by the Department of Parks and Recreation. Park land obligation in acres or in-lieu fees: ACRES: 0.03 IN-LIEU FEES: \$11,569 Conditions of the map approval: The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. No trails. Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. "The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 or the state of the schedule at the time Map 65814 or the schedule at the	· on · winning			,		
Ordinance provide that the County will determine whether the development's park obligation is to be met by: 1) the dedication of land for public or private park purpose or, 2) the payment of in-lieu fees or, 3) the provision of amenities or any combination of the above. The specific determination of how the park obligation will be satisfied will be based on the conditions of approval by the advisory agency as recommended by the Department of Parks and Recreation. Park land obligation in acres or in-lieu fees: ACRES: ACRES: 1.0.03 IN-LIEU FEES: \$11,569 Conditions of the map approval: The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Frails: No trails. Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 in the schedule at		Total Uni	ts 4 :	Proposed Units	3 + Exempt	Units 1
Park land obligation in acres or in-lieu fees: ACRES: 0.03 IN-LIEU FEES: \$11,569 Conditions of the map approval: The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Trails: No trails. Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. "The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 or the state of the schedule at the time Map 65814 or the schedule at the schedule at the time Map 65814 or the schedule at the	Ordinance pro 1) the dedic 2) the payn 3) the provi	ovide that the Cou cation of land for p nent of in-lieu fees sion of amenities	nty will determine volublic or private pa or, or, or any combination	whether the developn rk purpose or, n of the above.	nent's park obligation is	to be met by:
ACRES: 0.03 IN-LIEU FEES: \$11,569 Conditions of the map approval: The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Frails: No trails. Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 or the fee schedule at the fee schedule at the field of the feel of the	agency as rec	ommended by the	Department of Pa	rks and Recreation.		
The park obligation for this development will be met by: The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Trails: No trails. Comments: Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 or	Park land obl	igation in acres o	or in-lieu fees:			
The payment of \$11,569 in-lieu fees. Trails: No trails. Comments: Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 to	Conditions of	the map approv	<u>al</u> :			
No trails. Comments: Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 to				e met by:		
Comments: Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 to	Trails:					
Proposed to subdivide 1 lot into 4 single-family lots, with credit for 1 existing house to be removed, net density increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 to	No	trails.				
increase of 3 lots. **The In-Lieu Fee has been updated to \$11,569 from \$11,201 to reflect the fee schedule at the time Map 65814 to	Comments:			/A . A . Bull . A / / A . A . Bull . A	* ***	
•		•	ide 1 lot into 4 sir	ngle-family lots, with	n credit for 1 existing	house to be removed, net density
					,201 to reflect the fee	schedule at the time Map 65814 was

James Barber, Developer Obligations/Land Acquisitions

For information on Hiking and Equestrian Trail requirements contact Trail Coordinator at (213) 351-5135.



LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION



PARK OBLIGATION WORKSHEET

Tentative Map #

€5814

DRP Map Date: 06/07/2007

SMC Date: 11

Report Date: 11/20/2008

Park Planning Area # 38

LA CRESCENTE / MONTROSE / UNIVERSAL CITY

Map Type: REV. (REV RECD)

The formula for calculating the acreage obligation and or In-lieu fee is as follows:

(P)eople x (0.003) Goal x (U)nits = (X) acres obligation

(X) acres obligation x RLV/Acre = In-Lieu Base Fee

Where: P =

Estimate of number of People per dwelling unit according to the type of dwelling unit as determined by the 2000 U.S. Census*. Assume * people for detached single-family residences; Assume * people for attached single-family (townhouse) residences, two-family residences, and apartment houses containing fewer than five dwelling units; Assume * people for apartment houses

containing five or more dwelling units; Assume * people for mobile homes.

Goal =

The subdivision ordinance allows for the goal of 3.0 acres of park land for each 1,000 people

generated by the development. This goal is calculated as "0.0030" in the formula.

U =

Total approved number of Dwelling Units.

Х≃

Local park space obligation expressed in terms of acres.

RLV/Acre =

Representative Land Value per Acre by Park Planning Area.

Total Units 4 = Proposed Units 3 + Exempt Units 1

	People*	Goal 3.0 Acres / 1000 People	Number of Units	Acre Obligation
Detached S.F. Units	2.85	0.0030	3	0.03
M.F. < 5 Units	2.38	0.0030	0	0.00
M.F. >= 5 Units	2.19	0.0030	0	0.00
Mobile Units	2.40	0.0030	0	0.00
Exempt Units			1	
			Acre Obligation =	0.03

Park Planning Area = 38 LA CRESCENTE / MONTROSE / UNIVERSAL CITY

Goal	Acre Obligation	RLV / Acre	In-Lieu Base Fee
@(0.0030)	0.03	\$385,621	\$11,569

Lot#	Provided Space	Provided Acres	Credit (%)	Acre Credit	Land	
None						
	Total Provided Acre Credit: 0.00					

Acre Obligation	Public Land Crdt.	Priv. Land Crdt.	Net Obligation	RLV / Acre	In-Lieu Fee Due
0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$385,621	\$11,569



JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H. Director and Health Officer

JONATHAN FREEDMAN Acting Chief Deputy

Environmental Health ANGELO BELLOMO, REHS Director of Environmental Health

Bureau of Environmental Protection Land Use Program 5050 Commerce Drive, Baldwin Park, CA 91706-1423 TEL (626)430-5380 · FAX (626)813-3016 www.lapublichealth.org/eh/progs/envirp.htm



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Gloria Molina
First District
Yvonne B. Burke
Second District
Zev Yaroslavsky
Third District
Don Knabe
Fourth District

Michael D. Antonovich Fifth District

January 29, 2008

RFS No.07-0015704

Parcel Map No. 065814

Vicinity: La Crescenta

Addendum Letter to Tentative Parcel Map Date: June 7, 2007 (2nd Revision)

The County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health has no objection to this project and **Tentative Parcel Map 065814** is cleared for public hearing. The following conditions still apply and are in force:

- 1. Potable water will be supplied by the Crescenta Valley Water District a public water system.
- 2. Sewage disposal will be provided through the public sewer and wastewater treatment facilities of the Crescenta Valley Water District as proposed.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (626) 430-5380.

Respectfully,

Becky Valenti, E.H.S. IV

Land Use Program

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT NUMBER No. PM065814/RENVT200600104/ROAKT200600036

1. DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project is an application for a Tentative Tract Map to subdivide the subject parcel for four single-family residences with an attached two-car garage for each. The project site contains one existing single-family residence and one detached garage. Both are proposed to be removed. One thousand cubic yards of grading is proposed. Public water and sewerage services are available on project site through the connection to an existing water main and sewer line on Prospect Avenue. Project site access is taken from Prospect Avenue. There is an expired OTP 99-081 for a previously approved tract map for the subject property to authorize the removal of the one existing oak tree on-site. A new Oak Tree Permit, ROAKT200600036, is being sought.

2. LOCATION:

2621 Prospect Avenue La Crescenta, CA

3. PROPONENT:

Heung Jae Lee 842 Westchester Place Los Angeles, CA 90005

4. FINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT EFFECT:

BASED ON THE INITIAL STUDY, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

5. LOCATION AND CUSTODIAN OF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS:

THE LOCATION AND CUSTODIAN OF THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS ON WHICH ADOPTION OF THIS NEGATIVE DECLARATION IS BASED IS: DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

PREPARED BY: Impact Analysis Section, Department of Regional Planning

DATE: September 12, 2006

STAFF USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: PM065814

CASES: <u>RENVT200600104</u> <u>ROAKT200600036</u>



**** INITIAL STUDY ****

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

GENERAL INFORMATION

I.A. Map Date: <u>May 10, 2006</u>	Staff Member: Rick Kuo
Thomas Guide: <u>534 - G2</u>	USGS Quad: Pasadena
Location: 2621 Prospect Avenue, La Crescenta	
Description of Project: The proposed project is a	nn application for a Tentative Tract Map to subdivide the
subject parcel for four single-family residences wi	th an attached two-car garage for each. The project site
contains one existing single-family residence and e	one detached garage. Both are proposed to be removed.
One thousand cubic yards of grading is proposed	d. Public water and sewerage services are available on
project site through the connection to an existing w	ater main and sewer line on Prospect Avenue. Project site
access is taken from Prospect Avenue. There is an	expired OTP 99-081 for a previously approved tract map
for the subject property to authorize the removal of	the one existing oak tree on-site. A new Oak Tree Permit,
ROAKT200600036, is being sought.	
Gross Area: <u>0.81 acre</u>	
Environmental Setting: <u>The project site is located</u>	l in the unincorporated Los Angeles County community of
La Crescenta-Montrose and is bordered to the sou	th by Prospect Avenue. Project site is both 500 feet from
Interstate 210 to the south and from Foothill Blva	d. to the north. Surrounding land uses consist of single-
family residences. Project site topography is char	acterized as relatively flat land.
Zoning: R-1 (Single-family Residence)	
General Plan: Low Density Residential	
Community/Area Wide Plan: <i>None</i>	

Major projects in area: Project Number **Description & Status** 10 attached new condos on 0.68 acre (Inactive since 6/04). TR061403/04-145 2 single family residences (Pending). PM063010/RENVT200500151 OTP03-173 3 oak tree removals (Approved 1/04). Addition of child care center to existing church (Approved 7/03). CP02-308 NOTE: For EIRs, above projects are not sufficient for cumulative analysis. **REVIEWING AGENCIES** Responsible Agencies Special Reviewing Agencies Regional Significance None None None Santa Monica Mountains SCAG Criteria Regional Water Quality Control Board Conservancy Air Quality Los Angeles Region National Parks Water Resources Lahontan Region National Forest Santa Monica Mtns Area **Coastal Commission Edwards Air Force Base Army Corps of Engineers** Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mtns. City of La Canada Flintridge County Reviewing Agencies Trustee Agencies City of Glendale Subdivision Committee None Native American Heritage DPW: State Fish and Game Commission Health Services: State Parks ∠ La Canada Flintridge USD

			,	ANA	LYS	SIS SUMMARY (See individual pages for details)
IMPACT ANA	ALYSIS MATRIX					Less than Significant Impact/No Impact
					L.	ess than Significant Impact with Project Mitigation
						Potentially Significant Impact
CATEGORY	FACTOR	Pg				Potential Concern
HAZARDS	1. Geotechnical	5				
	2. Flood	6	\boxtimes			
	3. Fire	7	\boxtimes			
	4. Noise	8	\boxtimes			
RESOURCES	1. Water Quality	9	\boxtimes			
	2. Air Quality	10	\boxtimes			
	3. Biota	11	\boxtimes			One oak tree removal
	4. Cultural Resources	12	\boxtimes			
	5. Mineral Resources	13	\boxtimes			
	6. Agriculture Resources	14	\boxtimes			
	7. Visual Qualities	15	\boxtimes			
SERVICES	1. Traffic/Access	16	\boxtimes			
	2. Sewage Disposal	17	\boxtimes			
	3. Education	18	\boxtimes			
	4. Fire/Sheriff	19	\boxtimes			
	5. Utilities	20	\boxtimes			
OTHER	1. General	21	\boxtimes			
	2. Environmental Safety	22	\boxtimes			
	3. Land Use	23	\boxtimes			
	4. Pop./Hous./Emp./Rec.	24	\boxtimes			
	Mandatory Findings	25				
As required the environ 1. Develo	mental review procedure as proposed and procedure as proposed and project located and project located and project at urb	Senera orescri on: <u>Ca</u> ed in th or San an der	l Pla bed tego ne A ita C nsity	by http://www. http://ari an	stat 2: <u>Ca</u> lope ta V d loc	
	an urban expansion ne above questions are ans if DMS printout generated (a	wered	"ye			project is subject to a County DMS analysis.
Date o	of printout:	_				
	if DMS overview worksheet of staff reports shall utilize the most of					

3 7/99

Environmental Finding:

FINAL DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study, the finds that this project qualifies for the following environments	
NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the proposed effect on the environment.	project will not have a significant
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angel will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environ will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.	es. It was determined that this project
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the will reduce impacts to insignificant levels (see attached declared to the control of the cont	
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angele proposed project may exceed established threshold criteria. The of the project so that it can now be determined that the project physical environment. The modification to mitigate this im Changes/Conditions Form included as part of this Initial Study.	es. It was originally determined that the e applicant has agreed to modification will not have a significant effect on the apact(s) is identified on the Project
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT*, inasmuch as the project may have a significant impact due to factors listed	
At least one factor has been adequately analyzed legal standards, and has been addressed by mitigate analysis as described on the attached sheets (see EIR is required to analyze only the factors not present the standard of	tion measures based on the earlier attached Form DRP/IA 101). The
Reviewed by: Rick Kuo Klackho	Date: 18 september 2006
Approved by: Daryl Koutnik Daryl Koutnik	Date: 18 SEPTEMBER 2006
This proposed project is exempt from Fish and Gam substantial evidence that the proposed project will have wildlife or the habitat upon which the wildlife depends.	potential for an adverse effect on
Determination appealedsee attached sheet.	
*NOTE: Findings for Environmental Impact Reports will be prepared as a hearing on the project.	separate document following the public

4

HAZARDS - 1. Geotechnical

3E			Mouha	
a.	Yes	NO M	Maybe	Is the project site located in an active or potentially active fault zone, Seismic Hazards Zone, or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone? (State of CA Seismic Hazard Zones Map - Pasadena Quad and LA County Fault Rupture Hazards and Historic Seismicity Map).
b.		\boxtimes		Is the project site located in an area containing a major landslide(s)?
				(State of CA Seismic Hazard Zones Map - Pasadena Quad).
C.		\boxtimes		Is the project site located in an area having high slope instability?
d.				Is the project site subject to high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction?
				(State of CA Seismic Hazard Zones Map - Pasadena Quad).
e.				Is the proposed project considered a sensitive use (school, hospital, public assembly site) located in close proximity to a significant geotechnical hazard?
f.		\boxtimes		Will the project entail substantial grading and/or alteration of topography including slopes of more than 25%?
				1,000 cubic yards of grading proposed.
g.		\boxtimes		Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?
h.				Other factors?
ST	AND/	ARD (CODE	REQUIREMENTS
	Buildi	ing O	rdinanc	e No. 2225 C Sections 308B, 309, 310 and 311 and Chapters 29 and 70.
	MITIC	SATIO	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ize		Project Design Approval of Geotechnical Report by DPW
CO	NCL	USIO	N	
				re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or technical factors?
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant

HAZARDS - 2. Flood

SE	TTIN	G/IMI	PACTS		
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	Is a major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a dashed line, located on the project site?	
				(USGS Pasadena Quad Sheet).	
b.		\boxtimes		Is the project site located within or does it contain a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone?	
				(LA County Safety Element - Flood Inundation Hazards Map).	
c.		\boxtimes		Is the project site located in or subject to high mudflow conditions?	
d.				Could the project contribute or be subject to high erosion and debris deposition from run off?	
e.		\boxtimes		Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area?	
f.				Other factors (e.g., dam failure)?	
ST	ANDA	ARD (CODE	REQUIREMENTS	
=		•		e No. 2225 C Section 308A ☐ Ordinance No. 12,114 (Floodways) age Concept by DPW	
	MITIC	ATIO	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	
	Lot Size Project Design				
<u>Ap</u>	olicani	t shall	comply	with all Subdivision Committee's recommendations from the Department of Public Works.	
CC	NCL	JSIO	N		
		_		e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, od (hydrological) factors?	
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impact	

6

HAZARDS - 3. Fire

SETTING/IMPACTS Yes No Mavbe \boxtimes Is the project site located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (Fire Zone 4)? (LA County Safety Element - Wildland and Urban Fire Hazards Map). \boxtimes Is the project site in a high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, widths, surface materials, turnarounds or grade? Project access to be taken from Prospect Avenue. \boxtimes Does the project site have more than 75 dwelling units on a single access in a high fire hazard area? Is the project site located in an area having inadequate water and pressure to meet \bowtie fire flow standards? Served by the Crescenta Valley Water District. \boxtimes Is the project site located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses (such as refineries, flammables, explosives manufacturing)? 1/2 mile from natural gas distribution lines (LA County Safety Element - Wildland and Urban Fire Hazards Map). \boxtimes Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard? f. Other factors? STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS Water Ordinance No. 7834 Fire Ordinance No. 2947 Fire Regulation No. 8 ☐ Fuel Modification/Landscape Plan **■ MITIGATION MEASURES** / ■ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Project Design Compatible Use Applicant shall comply with all Subdivision Committee's recommendations from the Fire Department. CONCLUSION Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by fire hazard factors? ☐ Potentially significant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

7

HAZARDS - 4. Noise

SE			ACTS	
a.	Yes	No I	Maybe ⊠	Is the project site located near a high noise source (airports, railroads, freeways, industry)?
				500 feet from Interstate 210.
b.		\boxtimes		Is the proposed use considered sensitive (school, hospital, senior citizen facility) or are there other sensitive uses in close proximity?
c.				Could the project substantially increase ambient noise levels including those associated with special equipment (such as amplified sound systems) or parking areas associated with the project?
d.		\boxtimes		Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project?
e.				Other factors?
ST	AND	ARD C	ODE I	REQUIREMENTS
\boxtimes	Noise	e Cont	trol – C	hapter 12.8
	MITIC	SATIC	N ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ize		☐ Project Design ☐ Compatible Use
		USIOI		
				e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) npacted by noise ?
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impac

8

7/99

RESOURCES - 1. Water Quality

SE	TTIN	G/IM	PACTS	
a.	Yes	No ⊠	Maybe	Is the project site located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells?
				Project site is served by the Crescenta Valley Water District.
b.		\boxtimes		Will the proposed project require the use of a private sewage disposal system?
				Project site is served by the County of Los Angeles Sanitation Districts.
				If the answer is yes, is the project site located in an area having known septic tank limitations due to high groundwater or other geotechnical limitations <i>or</i> is the project proposing on-site systems located in close proximity to a drainage course?
c.				Could the project's associated construction activities significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water bodies?
d.		\boxtimes		Could the project's post-development activities potentially degrade the quality of storm water runoff and/or could post-development non-storm water discharges contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies?
e.				Other factors?
ST	AND	ARD	CODE I	REQUIREMENTS
	Indus	trial '	Waste F	Permit Health Code Ordinance No. 7583, Chapter 5
	Plum	bing	Code O	rdinance No. 2269
	MITIC	BATI	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ize		Project Design
CC	NCL	usio	N	
on,	or be	imp	he abov acted by	re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) y, water quality problems? rant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impa
ш	. 0.01	, and it y	2.9.1110	

RESOURCES - 2. Air Quality

SE	TTING	G/IMP	ACTS	
a.	Yes	No N ⊠	Maybe □	Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure, or exceed AQMD thresholds of potential significance?
b.		\boxtimes		Is the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use?
C.		\boxtimes		Will the project generate or is the site in close proximity to sources which create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions?
d.		\boxtimes		Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?
e.		\boxtimes		Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?
f.				Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
g.				Other factors:
ST	ANDA	RD C	ODE F	REQUIREMENTS
	Healtl	n and	Safety	Code Section 40506
	MITIG	ATIO	N MEA	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Projed	ct Des	ign	☐ Air Quality Report
Со	nsider		e abov	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, r quality?
	Poten	tially s	signific	ant

10 7/99

RESOURCES - 3. Biota

SETTING/IMPACTS Yes No Maybe \bowtie Is the project site located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or is the site relatively undisturbed and natural? \times Will grading, fire clearance, or flood related improvements remove substantial natural habitat areas? 1000 cubic yards of grading proposed. \boxtimes Is a drainage course located on the project site that is depicted on USGS guad sheets by a dashed blue line or that may contain a bed, channel, or bank of any perennial, intermittent or ephemeral river, stream, or lake? XDoes the project site contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat (e.g., coastal d. sage scrub, oak woodland, sycamore riparian woodland, wetland, etc.)? \boxtimes Does the project site contain oak or other unique native trees (specify kinds of trees)? е. One oak tree exists on-site and will be removed. f. \boxtimes Is the project site habitat for any known sensitive species (federal or state listed endangered, etc.)? Other factors (e.g., wildlife corridor, adjacent open space linkage)? MITIGATION MEASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Lot Size Project Design Oak Tree Permit | | ERB/SEATAC Review One oak tree to be removed. CONCLUSION Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on biotic resources? Less than significant with project mitigation \(\sum \) Less than significant/No impact Potentially significant

RESOURCES - 4. Archaeological / Historical / Paleontological

SETTING/IMPACTS Yes No Mavbe Is the project site in or near an area containing known archaeological resources or containing features (drainage course, spring, knoll, rock outcroppings, or oak trees) which indicate potential archaeological sensitivity? One oak tree exists on-site and will be removed. X Does the project site contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources? \boxtimes Does the project site contain known historic structures or sites? \boxtimes Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a d. historical or archaeological resource as defined in 15064.5? \boxtimes Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? f. Other factors? ■ MITIGATION MEASURES / ▼ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Lot Size Project Design Phase I Archaeology Report Consultation with Native American Tribal Representative. CONCLUSION Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on archaeological, historical, or paleontological resources? Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impact

12

RESOURCES - 5. Mineral Resources

SE	SETTING/IMPACTS								
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					
b.				Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					
C.				Other factors?					
	MITIC	SATIC	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS					
Lot Size				Project Design					
									
СО	NCLU	JSIOI	N						
			e abov sources	e information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) s?					
	Poten	itially	signific	ant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact					

13 7/99

RESOURCES - 6. Agriculture Resources

>E			ACIS	
a.	Yes	No 	Maybe	Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
				(Los Angeles County Important Farmland 2002 Map).
b.				Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?
C.		\boxtimes		Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?
d.				Other factors?
			ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ıze		Project Design
CC	NCL	USIO	N	
		_	ne abov e resou	re information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) arces?
	Poter	ntially	signific	eant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 7. Visual Qualities

	Poter	ıtially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impact
		_	ne abov alities?	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively)
CC	NCL	JSIO	N	
	Lot S	ize 		☐ Project Design ☐ Visual Report ☐ Compatible Use
			N MEA	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
f.	L l		L_J	Other factors (e.g., grading or land form alteration):
f				Other factors (e.g. grading or land form alteration):
e.	THE STATE OF THE S			Is the project likely to create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems?
d.				Is the proposed use out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features?
c.				Is the project site located in an undeveloped or undisturbed area, which contains unique aesthetic features?
				(Los Angeles County Trail System Map).
b.		\boxtimes		Is the project substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?
a.	Yes	No 	Maybe	Is the project site substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed?
ĢE			Maybe	

SERVICES - 1. Traffic/Access

SE a.			PACTS Maybe	Does the project contain 25 dwelling units, or more and is it located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections)?			
b.		\boxtimes		Will the project result in any hazardous traffic conditions?			
C.		\boxtimes		Will the project result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions?			
d.		\boxtimes		Will inadequate access during an emergency (other than fire hazards) result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area?			
e.				Will the congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicles added by project traffic to a CMP highway system intersection or 150 peak hour trips added by project traffic to a mainline freeway link be exceeded?			
f.		\boxtimes		Would the project conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			
g.				Other factors?			
	MITIC	SATIO	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS			
	Proje	ct De	sign	☐ Traffic Report ☐ Consultation with Traffic & Lighting Division			
Col		ring th	ne abov	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) onment due to traffic/access factors?			
	☐ Potentially significant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact						

16

SERVICES - 2. Sewage Disposal

Yes a.		Maybe	If served by a community sewage system, could the project create capacity problems at the treatment plant?
b. 🔲			Could the project create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site?
с. 🔲			Other factors?
STAND	ARD (CODE F	REQUIREMENTS
☐ San	itary S	ewers a	and Industrial Waste Ordinance No. 6130
☐ Plur	nbing(Code O	ordinance No. 2269
MIT	GATIO	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
CONCL	.USIO	N	
			re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) onment due to sewage disposal facilities?
☐ Pote	ntially	signific	eant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🛮 Less than significant/No impac

17 7/99

SERVICES - 3. Education

SE	SETTING/IMPACTS							
a.	Yes	No M	Maybe	Could the project create capacity problems at the district level?				
b.		\boxtimes		Could the project create capacity problems at individual schools which will serve the project site?				
c.		\boxtimes		Could the project create student transportation problems?				
d.				Could the project create substantial library impacts due to increased population and demand?				
e.				Other factors?				
	MITIC	ATIO	N MEA	ASURES / 🗵 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS				
	Site [Dedica	ition	☐ Government Code Section 65995 ☐ Library Facilities Mitigation Fee				
<u>Co</u>	nsultai	ion wi	th the L	a Canada Unified School District.				
CC	NCL	10ISL	1					
				e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) al facilities/services?				
	☐ Potentially significant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impac							

SERVICES - 4. Fire/Sheriff Services

SE a.			ACTS Maybe	Could the project create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site?
b.		\boxtimes		Are there any special fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area?
C.				Other factors?
	Fire N	∕litigat	tion Fee	ASURES \(\subseteq \text{OTHER CONSIDERATIONS}\) ess than one mile away at 4526 N. Ramsdell Avenue, La Crescenta, CA 91214.
<u>Vea</u>	rest S	heriff.	s station	n is less than one mile away at 4554 N. Briggs Avenue, La Crescenta, CA 91214.
co	NCLU	IOISU	N	
				e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) f services?
	Poten	tially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impa

SERVICES - 5. Utilities/Other Services

3E			'ACTS Maybe	
a.	Yes		Maybe	Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells?
				Water supply will be provided by the Crescenta Valley Water District.
b.		\boxtimes		Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs?
c.		\boxtimes		Could the project create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane?
				Utility providers serving project site are SBC, SCE, and Southern California Gas Company.
d.		\boxtimes		Are there any other known service problem areas (e.g., solid waste)?
e.				Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services or facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, roads)?
f.				Other factors?
ST	AND	ARD (CODE	REQUIREMENTS
	Plum	bing (Code C	ordinance No. 2269
	MITIC	GATIC	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ize		☐ Project Design
CC	NCL	USIO	N	,
Co rela	nside ative t	ring the	ne abov ities/se	ve information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) ervices?
	Poter	ntially	signific	cant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impac

20

OTHER FACTORS - 1. General

S ⊏ a.	Yes		Maybe	Will the project result in an inefficient use of energy resources?
b.				Will the project result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or character of the general area or community?
c.		\boxtimes		Will the project result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land?
d.				Other factors?
		SATIO		ve Code, Title 24, Part 5, T-20 (Energy Conservation) ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Project Design Compatible Use
Со	NCLI nside the pl	rina th	ne abov	re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) conment due to any of the above factors?
	Poter	ntially	signific	eant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☒ Less than significant/No imp

21

OTHER FACTORS - <u>2. Environmental Safety</u>

SE	SETTING/IMPACTS							
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	Are any hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site?				
b.		\boxtimes		Are any pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site?				
C.		\boxtimes		Are any residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and potentially adversely affected?				
d.		\boxtimes		Have there been previous uses that indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed?				
d.		\boxtimes		Have there been previous uses which indicate residual soil toxicity of the site?				
e.		\boxtimes		Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
f.		\boxtimes		Would the project emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
g.				Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment?				
h.				Would the project result in a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip?				
l.		\boxtimes		Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
j.				Other factors?				
	MITIC	ATIO	ON MEA	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS				
	Toxic	Clea	n up Pla	an				
	NCL nsider			e information, could the project have a significant impact relative to public safety ?				
	Poten	tially	signific	ant				

OTHER FACTORS - 3. Land Use

SE			PACTS	
a.	Yes	No ⊠	Maybe	Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the plan designation(s) of the subject property?
b.				Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the zoning designation of the subject property?
c.				Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the following applicable land use criteria:
		\boxtimes		Hillside Management Criteria?
		\boxtimes		SEA Conformance Criteria?
				Other?
d.		\boxtimes		Would the project physically divide an established community?
e.				Other factors?
	MITIC	GATIC	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
СО	NCLI	USIO	N	
				re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on nent due to land use factors?
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🖂 Less than significant/No impact

OTHER FACTORS - 4. Population/Housing/Employment/Recreation

3E		3/1IVIP.		
a.	Yes	No I	Maybe	Could the project cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?
b.		\boxtimes		Could the project induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)?
C.		\boxtimes		Could the project displace existing housing, especially affordable housing?
d.				Could the project result in a substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)?
e.		\boxtimes		Could the project require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents?
f.				Would the project displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
g.				Other factors?
☐ MITIGATION MEASURES / ☐ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS				
CO	NCL	MOISL	1	
				e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on nent due to population, housing, employment , or recreational factors?
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🛮 Less than significant/No impact

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Based on this Initial Study, the following findings are made:

a.	Yes	No 🖂	Maybe	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
b.				Does the project have possible environmental effects which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.
C.				Will the environmental effects of the project cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?
CO	NCL	JSIO	N	
	nside envir			e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on
	Poter	ntially	signific	ant 🔲 Less than significant with project mitigation 🔀 Less than significant/No impact

HZC.

To: Office of Planning and Research 1400 Tenth Street, Room 121 Sacramento, CA 95814

X Los Angeles Environmental Filings 12400 E. Imperial Highway, Room 1101 Norwalk, CA 90650 From: Department of Regional Planning 320 W. Temple Street, Rm. 1348 Los Angeles, CA 90012



Subject:

Filing Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

OAK TREE PERMIT NO. 2006-00036

Project Title

N/A	Josh Huntington	(213) 974-6433	
State Clearinghouse Number (If Submitted to Clearinghouse)	Lead Agency Contact Person	Area Code/ Telephone/Extensi	OH.
(11 Submitted to Clearing Rouse)	Comace 1 er son	i elephone/Extensi	OH
Project Location (Include County) The subject property is located at 2621 County.	Prospect Avenue, La C	rescenta in the Montrose Z	oned District of Los Angeles
Project Description:			
The applicant has requested the approva The subdivision proposes to create four Oak Tree Permit is an associated reques	single family parcels, in	cluding two flag lot parcels	
This is to advise that the Hearing \[\begin{align*} \text{Hearing} \\ \text{Lead Agen} \end{align*}	Officer cy Responsible Ago		ove-described project on
November 18, 2008 and made the following (Date)	owing determination regar	ding the above described proj	ect:
 The project [□will ⋈ will no 	t] have a significant effect	on the environment.	
2. An Environmental Impact	Report was prepared for th	is project pursuant to the provi	isions of CEQA.
	prepared for this project p	oursuant to the provisions of C	EQA.
3. Mitigation measures [were	⊠were not] made a condi	tion of the approval of the pro	ject.
4. A statement of Overriding Con	siderations [□was ⊠wa	s not] adopted for this project.	
Findings [⊠were □were not] made pursuant to the pro-	visions of CEQA.	
This is to certify that the Negative Declaration	on with comments and resp	onses and record of project ap	oproval is available to the
General Public at:			
320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, Calif	ornia 90012		
Spalline		per 19, 2008 Su	pervising Regional Planner
Signature (Public Agency) Date received for filing at OPR:	Date		Title



Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead



Bruce W. McClendon FAICP Director of Planning

November 19, 2008

Hank Jong EGL Associates, Inc. 11819 Goldring Road, Unit A Arcadia, CA 91006

SUBJECT: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814

OAK TREE PERMIT NO. 2006-00036

MAP DATE: June 7, 2007

Dear Mr. Jong:

A fee for the programs of the California Department of Fish and Game must be paid at the time a Notice of Determination is filed on an approved project. This is to inform you that, for your project approved on November 18, 2008,

	an Environmental Impact Report was required; therefore, a fee of \$2,656.75 must be paid.
<u>X</u>	a Negative Declaration was issued; therefore, a fee of \$1,926.75 must be paid.
	the project was found to involve no potential for any adverse effect on wildlife resources; therefore, a \$50 processing fee to accompany the Certificate of Fee Exemption must be paid.

Write the tentative parcel map number on your check made payable to the County of Los Angeles.

For your convenience fees will be collected by the Department of Regional Planning for forwarding to the County Clerk. Because the Department cannot accept these fees by mail, please bring a check in the appropriate amount to the Land Divisions Section, Room 1382, Hall of Records, 13th Floor, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 065814 OAK TREE PERMIT NO. 2006-00036 Fish and Game Fee Notice

Please note that Section 21089(b) of the Public Resources Code provides that no project approval is operative, vested or final until these fees are paid.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING Bruce W. McClendon, FAICP Director of Planning

Susan Tae, AICP Supervising Regional Planner Land Divisions Section

SMT:JSH